arXiv:1611.08852v1 [math.GR] 27 Nov 2016

A characterization of elementary abelian 2-groups

Marius Tărnăuceanu

November 27, 2016

Abstract

In this note we give a characterization of elementary abelian 2-groups in terms of their maximal sum-free subsets.

MSC (2010): Primary 11B75; Secondary 20D60, 20K01.
Key words: elementary abelian 2-groups, sum-free subsets, maximal sum-free subsets, maximal subgroups.

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper G will denote an additive, but not necessarily abelian, group. A subset A of G is said to be *sum-free* if $(A+A)\cap A=\emptyset$, that is the equation $x+y=z$ has no solutions $x,y,z\in A$. Sum-free sets were introduced by Schur [12] whose celebrated result states that the set of positive integers cannot be partitioned into finitely many sum-free sets. Another famous result on sum-free sets is a conjecture of Cameron and Erdős [3, 4], which asserts that the number of sum-free subsets of $\{1,2,...,n\}$ is $O(2^{n/2})$. It has been recently proved by Green [7].

Sum-free subsets of abelian groups are central objects of interest in Additive Combinatorics, and have been studied intensively in the last years (see e.g. [1, 2], [5, 6] and [8]-[11]). Probably the most important problems in this direction are: *How large a sum-free subset of G can be*? and *How many sum-free sets of G are there*?

In the following we will focus on maximal sum-free subsets of G. Our main theorem characterizes elementary abelian 2-groups by connecting these subsets with the complements of maximal subgroups.

Theorem 1.1. *A finite group G is an elementary abelian 2-group if and only if the set of maximal sum-free subsets coincides with the set of complements of maximal subgroups.*

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.1.

Corollary 1.2. *The number of maximal sum-free subsets of $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ is $2^n - 1$, and each of them has 2^{n-1} elements.*

Theorem 1.1 can be also used to count the sum-free subsets of $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ since these are all subsets of maximal sum-free subsets. Let $k = 2^n - 1$ and denote by $M_1, M_2, ..., M_k$ the maximal subgroups of $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$. Then, by applying the well-known Inclusion-Exclusion Principle, we infer that the total number of sum-free subsets of $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ is

$$x_n = |\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}_2^n \setminus M_i)| = \sum_{r=1}^{k} (-1)^{r-1} \sum_{1 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_r \le k} |\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}_2^n \setminus M_{i_1}) \cap \cdots \cap \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}_2^n \setminus M_{i_r})|$$

$$= \sum_{r=1}^{k} (-1)^{r-1} \sum_{1 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_r \le k} |\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}_2^n \setminus M_{i_1} \cup \cdots \cup M_{i_r})| = \sum_{r=1}^{k} (-1)^{r-1} \sum_{1 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_r \le k} 2^{2^n - |M_{i_1} \cup \cdots \cup M_{i_r}|}.$$

We remark that this depends only on the cardinality of any union of M_i's. Note that its first values are: $x_1 = 2$, $x_2 = 7$, $x_3 = 64$, $x_4 = 3049$, ... and so on. Thus, a natural problem is the following.

Open problem. Give an explicit formula for x_n.

Most of our notation is standard and will usually not be repeated here. Given a subset A of G, we write $A + A = \{a_1 + a_2 \,|\, a_1, a_2 \in A\}$, $A - A = \{a_1 - a_2 \,|\, a_1, a_2 \in A\}$, $\frac{1}{2}A = \{a \in G \,|\, 2a \in A\}$ and $G \setminus A = \{x \in G \,|\, x \notin A\}$.

2 Proofs of the main results

We start with two easy but important lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. *Let G be a group and H be a subgroup of G. Then $G \setminus H$ is a non-empty maximal sum-free subset of G if and only if $[G : H] = 2$.*

Proof. First of all, we remark that if A is a sum-free of G, then $|A| \leq \frac{|G|}{2}$. Indeed, given $x \in A$ the set $x + A = \{x + y \,|\, y \in A\}$ has the same number of elements as A and $(x + A) \cap A = \emptyset$. In other words, G contains at least $2|A|$ elements.

Assume first that $G \setminus H$ is a non-empty maximal sum-free subset of G. By the above remark we have $|G \setminus H| \leq \frac{|G|}{2}$, or equivalently $[G : H] \leq 2$. This leads to $[G : H] = 2$ because $G \setminus H$ is non-empty.

Conversely, assume that $[G : H] = 2$ and let $x, y \in G \setminus H$. Since $x + H$ and $-y + H$ are non-trivial cosets, it follows that $x + H = -y + H$, that is $x + y \in H$. Therefore $G \setminus H$ is a sum-free subset of G. Now, let $x \in G \setminus H$ and $z \in H$. Then $x + z \in G \setminus H$. This shows that $(G \setminus H) \cup \{z\}$ is not a sum-free subset of G, and consequently $G \setminus H$ is a non-empty maximal sum-free subset of G, as desired. ∎

Lemma 2.2. *Let G be a group and A be a subset of G. Then A is a maximal sum-free subset of G if and only if $G \setminus A = (A + A) \cup (A - A) \cup \frac{1}{2}A$.*

Proof. Assume first that A is a maximal sum-free subset of G. Then it is a routine to check that $(A + A) \cup (A - A) \cup \frac{1}{2}A \subseteq G \setminus A$. Let $x \in G \setminus A$. By our hypothesis, we infer that $A \cup \{x\}$ is not a maximal sum-free subset of G and so there are $a, b \in A \cup \{x\}$ such that $a + b \in A \cup \{x\}$. If $a = b = x$ we have either $x + x \in A$, i.e. $x \in \frac{1}{2}A$, or $x + x = x$, i.e. $x = 0 \in A - A$. If $a \in A$ and $b = x$, then $a + x \neq x$ and so $a + x \in A$, i.e. $x \in A - A$. Note that a similar conclusion follows for $b \in A$ and $a = x$. Finally, if $a, b \in A$, then we must have $a + b = x$, i.e. $x \in A + A$. These show that $G \setminus A \subseteq (A + A) \cup (A - A) \cup \frac{1}{2}A$.

Conversely, assume that $G \setminus A = (A + A) \cup (A - A) \cup \frac{1}{2}A$. Since $A + A \subseteq G \setminus A$, we infer that A is a sum-free subset of G. It remains to prove that this is maximal, that is $A \cup \{x\}$ is not a sum-free subset of G for any $x \in G \setminus A$. By our hypothesis, we distinguish the following three cases. If $x \in A + A$, then $x = a + b$ for some $a, b \in A$; thus $a, b \in A \cup \{x\}$ and $a + b \in A \cup \{x\}$. If $x \in A - A$, then $x = a - b$ for some $a, b \in A$; thus $x, b \in A \cup \{x\}$ and $x + b = a \in A \cup \{x\}$. Finally, if $x \in \frac{1}{2}A$, then $x \in A \cup \{x\}$ and $x + x \in A \subseteq A \cup \{x\}$. This completes the proof. ∎

We are now able to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $G = \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ for some $n \geq 1$. Then all maximal subgroups of G are of index 2, and therefore their complements are maximal sum-free subsets by Lemma 2.1. Suppose now that A is a maximal sum-free subset of G. Then $x+x = 0 \notin A \forall\, x \in G$, that is $\frac{1}{2}A = \emptyset$, and $A+A = A-A$. These lead to

$$G \setminus A = A + A$$

in view of Lemma 2.2. Let $\{e_1, e_2, ..., e_m\}$ be a maximal linearly independent subset of A (over $\mathbb{Z}_2$). We infer that A consists of all sums of an odd number of e_i's. If $m < n$ we can choose $e_{m+1} \in G$ such that the vectors $e_1, e_2, ..., e_{m+1}$ are linearly independent. One obtains that

$$A' = \{e_1, e_2, ..., e_{m+1}, e_1 + e_2 + e_3, e_1 + e_2 + e_4, ..., e_{m-1} + e_m + e_{m+1}, ...\}$$

is a sum-free subset of G and $A \subsetneq A'$, contradicting the maximality of A. Thus $m = n$ and

$$|A| = \binom{n}{1} + \binom{n}{3} + \cdots + \binom{n}{2r+1} + \cdots = 2^{n-1},$$

which implies that

$$|G \setminus A| = |A + A| = 2^{n-1}.$$

On the other hand, $G \setminus A$ is a subgroup of G because it consists of all sums of an even number of e_i's, and so it is a maximal subgroup.

Conversely, let $M_1, M_2, ..., M_k$ be the maximal subgroups of G and suppose that $G \setminus M_i$, $i = 1, 2, ..., k$, are the maximal sum-free subsets of G. Then $[G : M_i] = 2$, for any $i = 1, 2, ..., k$, by Lemma 2.1. We infer that G is a nilpotent group, more precisely a 2-group. Since every non-trivial element of G is contained in a maximal sum-free subset of G, we have

$$G \setminus 1 = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} G \setminus M_i = G \setminus \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} M_i = G \setminus \Phi(G),$$

that is $\Phi(G) = 1$. Hence G is an elementary abelian 2-group. ∎

Acknowledgements. The author is grateful to the reviewer for its remarks which improve the previous version of the paper.

References

[1] Alon, N., Balogh, J., Morris, R., Samotij, W., *Counting sum-free sets in abelian groups*, arXiv:1201.6654.

[2] Bourgain, J., *Estimates related to sumfree subsets of sets of integers*, Israel J. Math. **97** (1997), 71-92.

[3] Cameron, P.J., Erdős, P., *On the number of sets of integers with various properties*, Number theory (Banff, AB, 1988), 6179, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1990.

[4] Cameron, P.J., Erdős, P., *Notes on sum-free and related sets*, Recent trends in combinatorics (Mátraháza, 1995), 95-107, CUP, Cambridge, 2001.

[5] Eberhard, S., Green, B., Manners, F., *Sets of integers with no large sum-free subset*, arXiv:1301.4579.

[6] Giudici, M., Hart, S., *Small maximal sum-free sets*, Electron. J. Combin. **16** (2009), research paper 59, 17 pp.

[7] Green, B., *The Cameron-Erdős conjecture*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **36** (2004), 769-778.

[8] Green, B., Ruzsa, I.Z., *Sum-free sets in abelian groups*, Israel J. Math. **147** (2005), 157-188.

[9] Kedlaya, K.S., *Product-free subsets of groups, then and now*, Communicating Mathematics, Contemp. Math. **479**, 2009, 168-178.

[10] Kedlaya, K.S., Shao, X., *Generalizations of product-free subsets*, Communicating Mathematics, Contemp. Math. **479**, 2009, 179-188.

[11] Rhemtulla, A.H., Street, A.P., *Maximal sum-free sets in elementary abelian p-groups*, Canad. Math. Bull. **14** (1971), 73-86.

[12] Schur, I., *Über die Kongruenz* $x^m+y^m = z^m \pmod p$, Jahresber. Deutsch. Math. Verein. **25** (1916), 114-117.

Marius Tărnăuceanu
Faculty of Mathematics
"Al.I. Cuza" University
Iaşi, Romania
e-mail: tarnauc@uaic.ro

arXiv:1611.08852v1 [math.GR] 27 Nov 2016

A result on the maximal sum-free subsets of finite groups

Marius Tărnăuceanu

November 27, 2016

Abstract

In this note we give a characterization of the elementary abelian 2-groups $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$, $n = 1, 2, 3$, in terms of their maximal sum-free subsets.

MSC (2010): Primary 11B75; Secondary 20D60, 20K01.
Key words: elementary abelian 2-groups, sum-free subsets, maximal sum-free subsets, maximal subgroups.

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper G will denote an additive, but not necessarily abelian, group. A subset A of G is said to be *sum-free* if $(A + A) \cap A = \emptyset$, that is the equation $x+y = z$ has no solutions $x, y, z \in A$. Sum-free sets were introduced by Schur [12] whose celebrated result states that the set of positive integers cannot be partitioned into finitely many sum-free sets. Another famous result on sum-free sets is a conjecture of Cameron and Erdős [3, 4], which asserts that the number of sum-free subsets of $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ is $O(2^{n/2})$. It has been recently proved by Green [7].

Sum-free subsets of abelian groups are central objects of interest in Additive Combinatorics, and have been studied intensively in the last years (see e.g. [1, 2], [5, 6] and [8]-[11]). Probably the most important problems in this direction are: *How large a sum-free subset of G can be* ? and *How many sum-free sets of G are there* ?

In the following we will focus on the above second question. We remark that it is enough to study the maximal sum-free subsets of G because they

contain all sum-free subsets of G. We can easily check that for $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^n$, $n \leq 3$, the maximal sum-free subsets coincide with the complements of maximal subgroups. Our main theorem shows that $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$, $n = 1, 2, 3$, exhaust all finite groups with this property.

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be a finite group. Then the set of maximal sum-free subsets coincides with the set of complements of maximal subgroups if and only if $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ for some $n = 1, 2, 3$.*

The next corollary follows immediately from the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Corollary 1.2. *The elementary abelian 2-group $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ has $2^n - 1$ maximal sum-free subsets for $n = 1, 2, 3$, and at least $2^n - 1$ maximal sum-free subsets for $n \geq 4$.*

A lower bound for the number of sum-free subsets of $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ can be also given from the above results. Let $k = 2^n - 1$ and denote by $M_1, M_2, ..., M_k$ the maximal subgroups of $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$. Then, by applying the well-known Inclusion-Exclusion Principle, we infer that the total number of sum-free subsets of $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ is at least

$$x_n = |\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}_2^n \setminus M_i)| = \sum_{r=1}^{k} (-1)^{r-1} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_r \leq k} |\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}_2^n \setminus M_{i_1}) \cap \cdots \cap \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}_2^n \setminus M_{i_r})|$$

$$= \sum_{r=1}^{k} (-1)^{r-1} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_r \leq k} |\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}_2^n \setminus M_{i_1} \cup \cdots \cup M_{i_r})| = \sum_{r=1}^{k} (-1)^{r-1} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_r \leq k} 2^{2^n - |M_{i_1} \cup \cdots \cup M_{i_r}|},$$

and we have equality if and only if $n \leq 3$. We remark that x_n depends only on the cardinality of any union of M_i's. Its first values are: $x_1 = 2$, $x_2 = 7$, $x_3 = 64$, $x_4 = 3049$, ... and so on.

Finally, we indicate two natural open problems concerning the above study.

Problem 1. Describe *all* maximal sum-free subsets of $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ for $n \geq 4$.

Problem 2. Give a precise lower bound for the total number of sum-free subsets of $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ by computing explicitly x_n.

Most of our notation is standard and will usually not be repeated here. Given a subset A of G, we write $A + A = \{a_1 + a_2 \,|\, a_1, a_2 \in A\}$ and $G \setminus A = \{x \in G \,|\, x \notin A\}$.

2 Proof of the main result

We start with the following easy but important lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Let G be a group and H be a subgroup of G. Then $G \setminus H$ is a maximal sum-free subset of G if and only if $[G : H] = 2$.*

Proof. Assume first that $G \setminus H$ is a maximal sum-free subset of G and let $x+H, y+H$ be two non-trivial cosets. Then $x, -y \in G \setminus H$ and so $x-y \in H$, or equivalently $x + H = y + H$. In other words, G has a unique non-trivial coset with respect to H, i.e. $[G : H] = 2$.

Conversely, assume that $[G : H] = 2$ and let $x, y \in G \setminus H$. Since $x + H$ and $-y + H$ are non-trivial cosets, it follows that $x + H = -y + H$, that is $x + y \in H$. Therefore $G \setminus H$ is a sum-free subset of G. Now, let $x \in G \setminus H$ and $z \in H$. Then $x + z \in G \setminus H$. This shows that $(G \setminus H) \cup \{z\}$ is not a sum-free subset of G, and consequently $G \setminus H$ is a maximal sum-free subset of G, as desired. ∎

We are now able to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $M_1, M_2, ..., M_k$ be the maximal subgroups of G and assume that $G \setminus M_i$, $i = 1, 2, ..., k$, are the maximal sum-free subsets of G. Then $[G : M_i] = 2$, for any $i = 1, 2, ..., k$, by Lemma 2.1. We infer that G is a nilpotent group, more precisely a 2-group. Since every non-trivial element of G is contained in a maximal sum-free subset of G, we have

$$G \setminus 1 = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} G \setminus M_i = G \setminus \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} M_i = G \setminus \Phi(G),$$

that is $\Phi(G) = 1$. Consequently, G is an elementary abelian 2-group, say $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^n$.

Next we will prove that $n \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Assume that $n \geq 4$ and denote by $e_1, e_2, ..., e_n$ the canonical basis of G over $\mathbb{Z}_2$. It is clear that $A = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_1 + e_2 + e_3 + e_4\}$ is a sum-free subset of G. If there is a maximal subgroup M such that $A \subseteq G \setminus M$, then M must be of index 2, which implies that the sum of any two elements of A belongs to M. Therefore $e_1 + e_2, e_3 + e_4 \in M$ and so $e_1 + e_2 + e_3 + e_4 \in M$, a contradiction. This completes the proof. ∎

References

[1] Alon, N., Balogh, J., Morris, R., Samotij, W., *Counting sum-free sets in abelian groups*, arXiv:1201.6654.

[2] Bourgain, J., *Estimates related to sumfree subsets of sets of integers*, Israel J. Math. **97** (1997), 71-92.

[3] Cameron, P.J., Erdős, P., *On the number of sets of integers with various properties*, Number theory (Banff, AB, 1988), 6179, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1990.

[4] Cameron, P.J., Erdős, P., *Notes on sum-free and related sets*, Recent trends in combinatorics (Mátraháza, 1995), 95-107, CUP, Cambridge, 2001.

[5] Eberhard, S., Green, B., Manners, F., *Sets of integers with no large sum-free subset*, arXiv:1301.4579.

[6] Giudici, M., Hart, S., *Small maximal sum-free sets*, Electron. J. Combin. **16** (2009), research paper 59, 17 pp.

[7] Green, B., *The Cameron-Erdős conjecture*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **36** (2004), 769-778.

[8] Green, B., Ruzsa, I.Z., *Sum-free sets in abelian groups*, Israel J. Math. **147** (2005), 157-188.

[9] Kedlaya, K.S., *Product-free subsets of groups, then and now*, Communicating Mathematics, Contemp. Math. **479**, 2009, 168-178.

[10] Kedlaya, K.S., Shao, X., *Generalizations of product-free subsets*, Communicating Mathematics, Contemp. Math. **479**, 2009, 179-188.

[11] Rhemtulla, A.H., Street, A.P., *Maximal sum-free sets in elementary abelian p-groups*, Canad. Math. Bull. **14** (1971), 73-86.

[12] Schur, I., *Über die Kongruenz* $x^m+y^m = z^m \pmod p$, Jahresber. Deutsch. Math. Verein. **25** (1916), 114-117.

Marius Tărnăuceanu
Faculty of Mathematics
"Al.I. Cuza" University
Iaşi, Romania
e-mail: tarnauc@uaic.ro